

<June 2026>

Omlyclo

Ministry of Food and Drug Safety

APPROVED

PART A - ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Entered by:	Biosimilar Product Information	
MAH	Name of the biosimilar medicinal product	Omlyclo
MAH	MAH	Celltrion Inc. 20, Academy-ro 51 beon-gil, Yeonsu-gu, Incheon, Republic of Korea
NRA	Authorisation / Licence number	Celltrion / 34
MAH / NRA	API manufacturing facilities and batch release site for the finished product (if applicable)	Confidential
MAH	Name of the active substance	Omalizumab (INN)
MAH	Pharmaco-therapeutic group	ATC code: R03DX05
MAH	Substance category	Monoclonal antibodies
MAH	Pharmaceutical form	Solution for injection
MAH	Quantitative composition	150 mg/1.0 mL 75 mg/0.5 mL
MAH	Route of administration	Subcutaneous administration
MAH	Packaging/material	Pre-filled pen (PFP) / glass
MAH	Package size(s)	1 PFP /pack
MAH	Local legal basis	Pharmaceutical Affairs Act article 42 and Enforcement for drug safety article 4
MAH	Local biosimilar guidelines	Guidelines on the Evaluation of Biosimilar Products (MFDS 2021)

**IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public
Assessment Summary Information for
Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG**

<June 2026>

MAH	Date of authorisation/licensing of biosimilar	19 December 2025
	Reference Biotherapeutic Product (RBP) Information	
MAH	Name of the RBP	Xolair
MAH	Authorised indications for RBP	Allergic Asthma Chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps Chronic Spontaneous Urticaria
MAH	Pharmaceutical form	Colorless to light yellow-yellow, transparent to opalescent solution
MAH	Quantitative composition	150 mg/1.0 mL 75 mg/0.5 mL 300 mg/2.0 mL
MAH	Route of administration	Subcutaneous administration
MAH	Packaging/material	Pre-filled syringe / glass
MAH	Package size(s)	1 PFS-S/pack
MAH	Authorisation (Licence) number (of RBP)	Novartis Korea Ltd. / 5076, 5085, 5140
MAH	Date of authorisation (of RBP)	150 mg: 27 May 2016 75 mg: 26 June 2017 300 mg: 07 March 2024
MAH	Authorisation (Licence) Holder (of RBP)	Novartis Korea Ltd.
MAH	Source of RBP (or other comparator) for comparability exercise	Republic of Korea European Union
MAH / NRA	Availability of the RBP assessment report (korean)/link	NeDrug – Public Assessment Report (Korean): https://nedrug.mfds.go.kr/pbp/CCBAC02/getItem?searchYn=true&title=%EC%A1%B8%EB%A0%88%EC%96%B4&totalPages=0&limit=10&page=1&jdgmResultInfoSeq=32446
	Summary of outcomes	
MAH	Comparability exercise to demonstrate similarity to RBP	Extensive comparability exercise including data from : physicochemical, biological characterization, <i>in vitro</i> , <i>in vivo</i> non-clinical studies, PK, efficacy, safety and immunogenicity studies
NRA	Availability of full assessment report (korean)/link	NeDrug – Public Assessment Report (Korean): https://nedrug.mfds.go.kr/pbp/CCBAC02/getList?totalPages=448&page=1&limit=10&sort=&sortOrder=&search

**IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public
Assessment Summary Information for
Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG**

<June 2026>

		chYn=true&targetGb=&title=%EC%98%B4%EB%A6%AC%ED%81%B4%EB%A1%9C&entpName=&itemName=&mainIngrName=&mainIngrEngName=&btnSe arch=
MAH	Indications applied for (if different to RBP)	The indications applied for were all authorized for RBP except for the treatment of Chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps (see section Authorized indications for RBP).
NRA	Authorised indications for biosimilar	Allergic Asthma Chronic Spontaneous Urticaria

MAH (Marketing Authorisation Holder) or Sponsor

NRA (National Regulatory Authority) i.e. CA (Competent Authority)

<June 2026>

PART B - SUBMITTED DATA AND REVIEWER SUMMARY	
Procedure: < Initial Application >	
MAH	Quality data. Composition of the biosimilar product(s)
	Omalizumab 150 mg, Omalizumab 75 mg L-Histidine L-Histidine HCl Monohydrate L-Arginine HCl Polysorbate 20 Water for Injection
MAH	Quality data. State-of-the-art methods
	Structural Characteristics - Primary Structure: Peptide mapping, N-, C-terminal Sequence analysis, Intact mass - High Order Structure analysis: Disulfide bonds, Free thiol analysis, CD, FTIR, DSC Physicochemical Test - Purity/Impurity, Charge variants, Glycation/Glycosylation, Protein concentration Biological properties - IgE Binding Assay, IgE Binding Inhibition Assay, Cell-based IgE Binding Inhibition Assay, Beta-hexosaminidase Release Inhibition Assay Immunochemical properties - IgE-FcεRII Binding Inhibition Assay, C1q Binding Assay, Fcγ Receptor (FcγRIIIa (V type), FcγRIIIa (F-type), FcγRIIIb, FcγRIIa, FcγRIIb, FcγRI) Binding Assay, FcRn Binding Assay
NRA	Quality data assessment outcome
	Comprehensive head-to-head quality comparability study, utilizing various sensitive and orthogonal analytical methods, was conducted to evaluate the similarity between Omlyclo PFP and Xolair PFS. Since Omlyclo PFP is manufactured using the same omalizumab drug product solution as the previously approved Omlyclo PFS, the extensive quality comparability data generated during the original biosimilarity assessment against EU Xolair PFS were considered supportive. These assessments demonstrated that omalizumab is highly similar to Xolair PFS with respect to primary and higher-order structures, post-translational modifications, physicochemical and biophysical properties, and biological activities, thereby establishing quality equivalence. Due to the inherent structural heterogeneity of omalizumab, slight differences were identified in certain post-translational modification-related attributes, including charge variants and N-glycan profiles, when compared with Xolair PFS. However, these differences were not considered clinically meaningful, as they did not impact biological activities associated with the primary mechanism of action, including Fab-binding-related activities. Furthermore, the

**IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public
Assessment Summary Information for
Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG**

<June 2026>

	observed differences were not considered significant enough to produce a physiological effect, as demonstrated by the pharmacokinetic equivalence observed in global clinical studies. Furthermore, a comprehensive quality comparison study using the final assembled Omlyclo PFP and EU Xolair PFS demonstrated similarity across all major quality attributes. Although minor differences were observed in certain analytical parameters, these findings were consistent with those previously identified in the comparison between the Omlyclo PFS and Xolair PFS and could be adequately explained based on the known structural heterogeneity of omalizumab. Importantly, these differences were not considered to affect the quality, safety, or efficacy of the product. In conclusion, the totality of evidence, encompassing analytical comparability data, all relevant quality attributes, and clinical study data, supports the biosimilarity of Omlyclo PFP to Xolair PFS and demonstrates that the change in presentation from the PFS to the PFP does not adversely impact product quality, safety, or efficacy.
MAH	Mechanism of action
	Omalizumab is a recombinant DNA-derived humanised monoclonal antibody that selectively binds to human immunoglobulin E (IgE) and prevents binding of IgE to FcεRI (high-affinity IgE receptor) on basophils and mast cells, thereby reducing the amount of free IgE that is available to trigger the allergic cascade.
MAH	Nonclinical data. <i>In vitro</i> studies
	No new non-clinical <i>in vitro</i> studies were submitted. As comparability between Omlyclo PFP and Omlyclo PFS has been established, the previously accepted <i>in vitro</i> functional comparability data are considered applicable to the present application.
MAH	Nonclinical data. <i>In vivo</i> studies
	Pharmacology No new Pharmacology studies were submitted. As comparability between Omlyclo PFP and Omlyclo PFS has been established, the pharmacology data accepted for the initial approval of Omlyclo are considered applicable to the present application. Toxicity Study (including TK) No new Toxicity studies were submitted. As comparability between Omlyclo PFP and Omlyclo PFS has been established, the pharmacology data accepted for the initial approval of Omlyclo are considered applicable to the present application.
NRA	Nonclinical data assessment outcome
	1. <i>In vitro</i> studies No new <i>in vitro</i> studies were submitted. As comparability between Omlyclo PFP and Omlyclo PFS has been established, the previously accepted <i>in vitro</i> functional comparability data are considered applicable to the present application. 2. <i>In vivo</i> studies

<June 2026>

	No new non-clinical <i>in vitro studies</i> were submitted. As comparability between Omlyclo PFP and Omlyclo PFS has been established, the previously accepted <i>in vitro</i> functional comparability data are considered applicable to the present application.											
	CLINICAL STUDIES - include relevant study data from the following (not all may be required) which have been included to demonstrate biosimilarity. • Pharmacokinetic (PK) • Pharmacodynamic (PD) • Efficacy • Safety • Immunogenicity											
MAH	Clinical data. PK study between PFP and PFS											
	Study Number: CT-P39 1.2 • Summary of design: Phase 1, randomized, openlabel, two-arm, parallel group, single-dose study to compare the PK and safety of two formulations of omalizumab (Omlyclo PFP and EU approved Xolair PFS) • Healthy Japanese subjects. Randomized: 129 (Omlyclo PFP: 65, EU-approved Xolair PFS:64) Objective and primary endpoints: PK similarity in terms of AUC_{0-inf} and C_{max} of Omlyclo via PFP versus EU-approved Xolair PFS administration in healthy Japanese subjects • Dose used: 150 mg (150 mg/1.0 mL), SC injection to the upper arm via PFP as a single administration • Length of the study: 127 days											
NRA	Clinical data. PK study between PFP and PFS, PK studies assessment outcome											
	Study Number: CT-P39 1.2 The 90% Confidence Interval (CI) of the geometric least squares means (LSMeans) ratios of Omlyclo PFP to EU-Xolair PFS for AUC_{0-inf} and C_{max} were contained within the predefined bioequivalence margin of 80-125%. <table><tr><th rowspan="2">PK parameter (units)</th><th colspan="2">CT-P39 AI / EU-approved Xolair PFS</th></tr><tr><th>Geometric Least-Square Mean Ratio</th><th>90% CI</th></tr><tr><td>AUC_{0-inf}(day·µg/mL)</td><td>101.66</td><td>[95.31 – 108.45]</td></tr><tr><td>C_{max} (µg/mL)</td><td>93.91</td><td>[87.20 – 101.14]</td></tr></table> * CT-P39 AI refers to Omlyclo PFP	PK parameter (units)	CT-P39 AI / EU-approved Xolair PFS		Geometric Least-Square Mean Ratio	90% CI	AUC _{0-inf} (day·µg/mL)	101.66	[95.31 – 108.45]	C _{max} (µg/mL)	93.91	[87.20 – 101.14]
PK parameter (units)	CT-P39 AI / EU-approved Xolair PFS											
	Geometric Least-Square Mean Ratio	90% CI										
AUC _{0-inf} (day·µg/mL)	101.66	[95.31 – 108.45]										
C _{max} (µg/mL)	93.91	[87.20 – 101.14]										
MAH	Clinical data. PK study between Omlyclo PFS and Xolair PFS											
	Study Number: CT-P39 1.1 (Identical to those previously approved) • Summary of design: Phase 1, randomized, double-blind, three-arm, parallel group, single-dose study to compare the PK and safety of three formulations of omalizumab (Omlyclo PFS, EU Xolair PFS, and US Xolair PFS) in Healthy Subjects											

<June 2026>

	- Randomized subject: 176 - Objective and primary endpoints: Demonstration of Pharmacokinetic (PK) similarity in terms of AUC_{0-inf}, AUC_{0-last}, C_{max} of Omlyclo PFS, EU-Xolair PFS, and US- Xolair PFS in healthy subjects - Dose used: 150 mg (150 mg/1.0 mL), a single PFS SC injection of study drug - Length of the study: 127 days																																		
NRA	Clinical data. PK study between Omlyclo PFS and Xolair PFS assessment outcome																																		
	Study Number: CT-P39 1.1 (Identical to those previously approved) The 90% Confidence Interval (CI) of the geometric least squares means (LSMeans) ratios of Omlyclo PFS to EU-Xolair PFS/US- Xolair PFS for AUC _{0-last} , AUC _{0-inf} and C _{max} were contained within the predefined bioequivalence margin of 80-125%. <table><tr><th rowspan="2">PK parameter (units)</th><th colspan="2">CT-P39 / EU-approved Xolair</th><th colspan="2">CT-P39 / US-licensed Xolair</th><th colspan="2">EU-approved Xolair / US-licensed Xolair</th></tr><tr><th>Ratio</th><th>90% CI</th><th>Ratio</th><th>90% CI</th><th>Ratio</th><th>90% CI</th></tr><tr><td>AUC_{0-last} (day·µg/mL)</td><td>104.00</td><td>[94.96 - 113.89]</td><td>99.30</td><td>[90.79 - 108.61]</td><td>95.48</td><td>[87.36 - 104.37]</td></tr><tr><td>AUC_{0-inf} (day·µg/mL)</td><td>105.62</td><td>[95.91 - 116.31]</td><td>98.72</td><td>[89.76 - 108.58]</td><td>93.47</td><td>[85.09 - 102.68]</td></tr><tr><td>C_{max} (µg/mL)</td><td>113.14</td><td>[103.15 - 124.11]</td><td>103.88</td><td>[94.83 - 113.80]</td><td>91.82</td><td>[83.87 - 100.52]</td></tr></table> * CT-P39 refers to Omlyclo PFS	PK parameter (units)	CT-P39 / EU-approved Xolair		CT-P39 / US-licensed Xolair		EU-approved Xolair / US-licensed Xolair		Ratio	90% CI	Ratio	90% CI	Ratio	90% CI	AUC _{0-last} (day·µg/mL)	104.00	[94.96 - 113.89]	99.30	[90.79 - 108.61]	95.48	[87.36 - 104.37]	AUC _{0-inf} (day·µg/mL)	105.62	[95.91 - 116.31]	98.72	[89.76 - 108.58]	93.47	[85.09 - 102.68]	C _{max} (µg/mL)	113.14	[103.15 - 124.11]	103.88	[94.83 - 113.80]	91.82	[83.87 - 100.52]
PK parameter (units)	CT-P39 / EU-approved Xolair		CT-P39 / US-licensed Xolair		EU-approved Xolair / US-licensed Xolair																														
	Ratio	90% CI	Ratio	90% CI	Ratio	90% CI																													
AUC _{0-last} (day·µg/mL)	104.00	[94.96 - 113.89]	99.30	[90.79 - 108.61]	95.48	[87.36 - 104.37]																													
AUC _{0-inf} (day·µg/mL)	105.62	[95.91 - 116.31]	98.72	[89.76 - 108.58]	93.47	[85.09 - 102.68]																													
C _{max} (µg/mL)	113.14	[103.15 - 124.11]	103.88	[94.83 - 113.80]	91.82	[83.87 - 100.52]																													
MAH	Clinical data. PD studies																																		
	No specific PD study was conducted. Pharmacodynamic endpoints were assessed as part of study CT-P39 1.1.																																		
NRA	Clinical data. PD data assessment outcome																																		
	The mean total and free serum IgE was generally comparable between the Omlyclo PFS, EU-Xolair PFS and US-Xolair PFS groups. All groups showed an increase in mean total IgE and a suppression of free IgE.																																		
MAH	Clinical data. Efficacy studies																																		
	Study Number: CT-P39 3.1 (Identical to those previously approved) - Summary of design: Double-blind, randomized, active-controlled, parallel group, Phase 3 study to compare efficacy and safety of Omlyclo PFS and Xolair PFS in patients with CSU - Randomized subject: 634 - Primary objective: To demonstrate the equivalence of Omlyclo PFS to Xolair PFS at a dose of 300 mg in terms of efficacy in patients with CSU as determined by change from baseline in ISS7 at Week 12 - Secondary objective: - To evaluate relative potency and dose response in terms of efficacy between 300 mg and 150 mg for Omlyclo PFS and Xolair PFS - To evaluate additional efficacy of CT-P39 and Xolair at each dose level of 300 mg and 150 mg - To evaluate the PK, QoL, safety, and immunogenicity of Omlyclo PFS and Xolair PFS - Dose used: - Treatment Period I: Arm 1, 2: 300 mg (2 injections of 150 mg/1.0 mL) / Arm 3, 4: 150 mg (1 injection of 150 mg/1.0 mL) of study drug by SC injection via PFS																																		

<June 2026>

	- Treatment Period II: 300 mg (2 injections of 150 mg/1.0 mL) of study drug by SC injection via PFS • Length of the study: 40 weeks																															
NRA	Clinical data. Efficacy data assessment outcome																															
	Study Number: CT-P39 3.1 (Identical to those previously approved) The LS mean (SE) change from baseline in weekly Itch Severity Score (ISS7) at Week 12 was -9.21 (0.796) points in Omlyclo PFS 300 mg treatment arm (Arm 1) and -9.98 (0.798) points in Xolair PFS 300 mg treatment arm (Arm 2) for the mITT Set (estimate of treatment difference: 0.77). The 95% CI of treatment difference in the mean change from baseline in ISS7 at Week 12 between 300 mg of CT-P39 and 300 mg of Xolair treatment arms was [-0.37, 1.90] for the mITT Set and entirely within the equivalence margin of [-2.0, 2.0] which demonstrated the therapeutic equivalence between Omlyclo PFS and Xolair PFS. In the PP set, the 95% CI of treatment difference in the mean change from baseline in ISS7 at Week 12 between 300 mg of Omlyclo PFS and 300 mg of Xolair PFS was [-0.45, 1.84]. The result of multiple imputation and tipping point analysis showed that missing data had no major impact on the result for the primary efficacy endpoint. <table><tr><th>Treatment</th><th>n</th><th>LS Mean (SE)</th><th>Estimate of Treatment Difference</th><th>95% CI of Treatment Difference</th></tr><tr><td colspan="5">mITT Set</td></tr><tr><td>CT-P39 300 mg (Arm 1)</td><td>186</td><td>-9.21 (0.796)</td><td rowspan="2">0.77</td><td rowspan="2">[-0.37, 1.90]</td></tr><tr><td>Xolair 300 mg (Arm 2)</td><td>192</td><td>-9.98 (0.798)</td></tr><tr><td colspan="5">PP Set</td></tr><tr><td>CT-P39 300 mg (Arm 1)</td><td>179</td><td>-9.48 (0.790)</td><td rowspan="2">0.69</td><td rowspan="2">[-0.45, 1.84]</td></tr><tr><td>Xolair 300 mg (Arm 2)</td><td>183</td><td>-10.17 (0.792)</td></tr></table> * CT-P39 refers to Omlyclo PFS The therapeutic equivalence was reaffirmed by the sensitivity analysis results using multiple imputation. Additional scenarios for the impact of missing data on the treatment difference were explored via the tipping point analysis and the results generally supported the therapeutic equivalence between Omlyclo PFS and Xolair PFS. The mean ISS7, UAS7, and HSS7 decreased from baseline in all treatment arms throughout Treatment Period I. The mean changes from baseline in ISS7, UAS7, and HSS7 at each week were similar between 300 mg of Omlyclo PFS and 300 mg of Xolair PFS treatment arms despite the slight fluctuations by timepoints. During Treatment Period 2, efficacy after a single transition from Xolair PFS to Omlyclo PFS was not different from those of patients maintained on Omlyclo PFS or Xolair PFS up to Week 24.	Treatment	n	LS Mean (SE)	Estimate of Treatment Difference	95% CI of Treatment Difference	mITT Set					CT-P39 300 mg (Arm 1)	186	-9.21 (0.796)	0.77	[-0.37, 1.90]	Xolair 300 mg (Arm 2)	192	-9.98 (0.798)	PP Set					CT-P39 300 mg (Arm 1)	179	-9.48 (0.790)	0.69	[-0.45, 1.84]	Xolair 300 mg (Arm 2)	183	-10.17 (0.792)
Treatment	n	LS Mean (SE)	Estimate of Treatment Difference	95% CI of Treatment Difference																												
mITT Set																																
CT-P39 300 mg (Arm 1)	186	-9.21 (0.796)	0.77	[-0.37, 1.90]																												
Xolair 300 mg (Arm 2)	192	-9.98 (0.798)																														
PP Set																																
CT-P39 300 mg (Arm 1)	179	-9.48 (0.790)	0.69	[-0.45, 1.84]																												
Xolair 300 mg (Arm 2)	183	-10.17 (0.792)																														
MAH	Clinical data. Safety/ Immunogenicity studies																															
	The overall safety and immunogenicity profiles of Omlyclo PFS, including CT-P39 PFP, were comparable to those of the reference product, with no clinically meaningful differences observed.																															
NRA	Clinical data. Safety/ Immunogenicity data assessment outcome																															
	Safety.																															

**IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public
Assessment Summary Information for
Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG**

<June 2026>

	Across Studies CT-P39 1.1, CT-P39 1.2, and CT-P39 3.1, the overall safety profile of Omlyclo was comparable to that of the reference product, with no new or unexpected safety findings, safety signals, or clinically meaningful differences observed. <u>Immunogenicity.</u> Across Studies CT-P39 1.1, CT-P39 1.2, and CT-P39 3.1, the immunogenicity profile of CT-P39 was comparable to that of the reference product. No clinically meaningful differences in ADA or NAb responses were observed between Omlyclo and the reference product.	
MAH	Interchangeability data No additional data were provided	
MAH	Additional information about the comparability exercise	Not applicable
MAH	Post-authorization measures	
	Re-examination study in Study: Observational, prospective cohort study to evaluate safety and efficacy of Omlyclo PFP (The product is subject to the same re-examination period as the previously approved presentation.) Period: 2025.12.19 – 2028.06.23	
NRA	Post-authorization risk measures: assessment outcome. Post-marketing surveillance study (re-examination study) plan was considered to be acceptable.	
MAH	Availability of additional relevant information in the local language/ link	Not applicable

<June 2026>

PART C - REVIEWER CONCLUSIONS	
NRA	Conclusions on biosimilarity, approval
The data provided by the Applicant were in line with the local legislation and guidelines. <u>Quality</u> Comprehensive head-to-head characterization studies, utilizing sensitive and orthogonal analytical methods, demonstrated that all major quality attributes of Omlyco PFP were comparable to those of Xolair PFS. In conclusion, the totality of evidence, encompassing analytical comparability data, all relevant quality attributes, and clinical study data, supports the biosimilarity of Omlyco PFP to Xolair PFS. <u>Nonclinical</u> No new non-clinical <i>in vitro studies</i> were submitted. Previously accepted <i>in vitro</i> functional comparability data are considered applicable to the present application. <u>Clinical Studies</u> The Phase I study (CT-P39 1.2) demonstrated pharmacokinetic equivalence between Omlyco PFP and EU-approved Xolair PFS based on the primary PK endpoints, AUC_{0-inf} and C_{max}. Safety: The Adverse drug reactions (ADRs) observed with Omlyco were in the similar range as the ADRs observed with the reference biotherapeutic product EU-approved Xolair PFS. Immunogenicity: The proportion of patients who developed ADA with Omlyco was generally similar to the reference biotherapeutic product EU-approved Xolair PFS. Extrapolation of indications: Based on the totality of evidence, all indications applied for Xolair PFS (see Part A, summary of outcomes) were considered to be extrapolated to Omlyco PFP except for chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps (under re-examination). <u>Risk Management</u> The risk management plan was considered to be acceptable. <u>Overall Conclusion</u> Satisfactory assurance of biosimilarity was demonstrated using an appropriate comparability exercise. The biosimilar product Omlyco PFP was considered approvable.	